

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,387	03/06/2002	Naoki Midoh	2002-0317A	2875
513 7590 12/27/2006 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER STEADMAN, DAVID J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	10/070,387	MIDOH ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview dumini	Examiner	Art Unit
·	David J. Steadman	1656
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>David J. Steadman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Jay F. Williams.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2006	Time: approximately	<u>10 am</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐	Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		. ·
Claims discussed: NONE		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequence of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequence of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequence of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
*		
DAVID J. STEADMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriat		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that he was unable to find support for the "95% homology" limitation in the foreign priority documents and without such support, prosecution would be re-opened and a rejection under 102(a) would be re-instated. The examiner noted that a non-final Office action to that effect would be forthcoming.